

State of Utah  
 Natural Resources, Water Rights  
 C/O Robert W. Leake  
 152 E. 100 North  
 Vernal Utah, 84078-2110

Aug. 12, 1991

RECEIVED  
 AUG 14 1991

DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS  
 VERNAL, UTAH

Dear Sir:

I appreciated the opportunity to visit with you about water rights last Thursday. You mentioned you wanted a copy of the "Delivery Scheduled by Source" put together by Donald C. Norseth April 7, 1966. Please find this inclosed.

I have also enclosed a copy of 2 sorts of the material supplies by your office concerning West, Middle, and East Beaver Creeks. Would you please look them over and see if the information is correct. I will try to explain them by groups.

Group #1, file T\_S\_Per#.wk1

This file has all information listed and sorted by W.R.# for all three creeks. Listed at the bottom is the USA BLM stock watering allocations. You will note that a "\*" is placed adjacent to each permit no. that is duplicated. As you indicated the permit numbers can only be accounted for once.

Group #2 file T\_S1\_PER.WK1

This file lists only permit numbers not duplicated (excluding USA BLM) and the accompanying flows. As you can see the allocation out of East Beaver (EB) comes to 8.833 cfs with accompanying priority dates of 1900 to 1920. One question I have is the acreage this covers. You will note in the column under "ACRES" there is four permit listing 58.3 acres each. For EB would the total acres be 213.31 or 397.02? I also noted that several permits refers to 136.09 acres. How do we account for the acres and permits when it seems that both are duplicated?

Also permit # 3129 from West Beaver lists 860 acres with a supplemental supply numbers. This has a 1967 priority for 8 cfs. If the supplemental permits are already being filled on above allocations, should this also be an allocation?

During our conversation I indicated to you the thought was if we requested regulation of West Beaver, other users would attempt to divert water out of Middle Beaver to fill older rights in West Beaver. You indicated, and I agree, this is not lawful unless the permit is specifically dedicated from Middle Beaver for grounds between or below West Beaver, this should not occur.

Please review the enclosed information and let me know if there is any changes concerning the water rights.

Sincerely,

*Kenneth E. Hanks*  
 Kenneth E. Hanks